Review Results (Reconciled)

Participating Area:	Television and Radio
Reviewed by:	Pasadena LACreconciler06
Date Reviewed:	09/26/2013 08:35:57 PM (PDT)
Workspace Template:	Annual Assessment Report
Workspace:	Annual Assessment Report
Review Method:	Using Rubric

Review Summary for 2012: Course SLOs and Assessment Results (Course SLOs and Assessment Results, Analysis, and Recommendations for Improvement)

Final Score:	10.00 (out of 12)
Overall comments:	Well done! Thank you for participating in this first Annual Assessment Report. If you have any questions about the feedback given, please feel free to contact Learning Assessment Committee Chair Matt Henes (mthenes@pasadena.edu, (626) 585-7456). Faculty Assessment Coach Kirsten Ogden (keogden@pasadena.edu, (626) 585-3193) is available to provide support in revising SLOs, creating rubrics, linking existing assignments to SLOs, interpreting results, and all other components of the assessment process.

Detailed Results (Rubric used: Annual Assessment Report 1.0)

Outcome Focus & Measurability

(3) Exemplary	(2) Acceptable	(1) Developing	(0) Does Not Meet Standard
Outcome statements are student focused and written in terms understandable to students. All outcomes are defined using easily assessed action verbs.	The majority of outcome statements are student focused and written in terms understandable to students. The majority of outcomes are defined using easily assessed action verbs.	Fewer than half of the outcome statements are student focused and written in terms understandable to students. Fewer than half of outcomes are defined using easily assessed action verbs.	Outcome statements are neither student focused nor written in terms understandable to students. Outcomes are not defined using easily assessed action verbs.

Criterion Score: 3.00

Comments on this criterion (optional): Almost all SLOs are student focused and written in terms understandable to students but more descriptive action verbs or application context could be included, e.g. "produce" could be enhanced with 'apply industry standard announcing skills to produce professional quality..."
Also, some classes have the same SLOs. It seems there should be some distinction between outcomes of different courses. Deficiencies are addressed in the recommendations and summary. SLO #1 for TVR 7 could be streamlined by leaving the details in the rubric.

Assessment

(3) Exemplary	(2) Acceptable	(1) Developing	(0) Does Not Meet Standard
Assessment measures the stated outcome.	Assessment mostly measures the stated outcome.	Assessment partially measures the stated outcome.	Assessment does not measure the stated outcome.

Criterion Score: 3.00

Comments on this criterion (optional): The assessment for SLO #1 for TVR 7 seems to measure only one aspect of stated outcome. The rest of the assessments seem to align well with stated outcomes.

Results & Analysis

(3) Exemplary	(2) Acceptable	(1) Developing	(0) Does Not Meet Standard

Analysis articulates a well-reasoned critique of the results. All results (eLumen, Scantron, Excel files, etc.) are attached to the report.	Analysis articulates a critique of the results. Some of the results (eLumen, Scantron, Excel files, etc.) are attached to the report.	Analysis is partially linked to the results. Results (eLumen, Scantron, Excel files, etc.) are not attached to the report.	Analysis is not linked to the results. Results (eLumen, Scantron, Excel files, etc.) are not attached to the report.

Criterion Score: 1.00

Comments on this criterion (optional): This section is well-developed in the reports for TVR 16A, 16B and 24. An analysis of why students achieved the scores they earned in the other courses would improve this report. This section has a score of 1 because data was not included in the report.

Recommendations for Improvement

(3) Exemplary	(2) Acceptable	(1) Developing	(0) Does Not Meet Standard
Recommendations clearly link to the assessment results and are feasible to implement. If appropriate, a plan to secure necessary resources is included.	Recommendations mostly link to the assessment results and are mostly feasible to implement. If appropriate, a plan to secure necessary resources is included.	Recommendations partially link to the assessment results and are somewhat feasible to implement. A plan to secure necessary resources is not included.	Recommendations are not linked to the assessment results and are not feasible to implement. A plan to secure necessary resources is not included.

Criterion Score: 3.00

Comments on this criterion (optional): Most of the recommendations seem feasible. Consider including a plan to secure resources for equipment (i.e. HD cameras).